1	SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
2	COUNTY OF KINGS: CRIMINAL PART GP10
3	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK :
4	
5	- against - : DOCKET : NUMBER:
6	DEXTER TAYLOR, : 71520-22
7	Defendant. : SENTENCING
8	: X
9	Supreme Court
10	320 Jay Street Brooklyn, New York 11201
11	May 13, 2024 BEFORE:
12	HONORABLE ABENA DARKEH,
13	Justice of the Supreme Court
14	APPEARANCES:
15	
16	ERIC GONZALEZ, ESQ. District Attorney, Kings County
17	350 Jay Street Brooklyn, New York 11201
18	BY: OMAR HARDING, ESQ.  JONATHAN VISOTZKY, ESQ.
19	Assistant District Attorney
20	VARGHESE & ASSOCIATES, PC Attorney for the Defendant
21	2 WALL STREET NEW YORK, NY 10005
22	BY: VINOO P. VARGHESE, ESQ. ELIJAH SMITH, Paralegal
23	LLICIM SIIIIM, LALALOGAL
24	FAYE PHILLIPS-MCLEAN
25	SENIOR COURT REPORTER

1	THE CLERK: This is case number 1 on the GP10
2	calendar Dexter Taylor, Indictment No. 71520-22. Defendant
3	is produced before the Court.
4	Appearances, please.
5	MR. HARDING: Omar Harding on behalf of the Office
6	of the District Attorney. Good morning, Judge. Good
7	morning, everyone.
8	MR. VISOTZKY: Jonathan Visotzky for the People,
9	V-i-s-o-t-z-k-y. Good morning, Your Honor. Good morning,
LO	everyone.
L1	MR. VARGHESE: Varghese & Associates by
L2	Vinoo Varghese counsel for Mr. Taylor and at counsel's table
L3	with me is my paralegal, Elijah Smith; common spelling.
L4	THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. I'll ask the
L5	lawyers to take a seat, as well as the defendant.
L 6	Consistent with the rules, there is to be no recording by
L7	electronic device by anyone in this courtroom.
L8	This matter is on today for sentencing. People, do
L 9	you wish to be heard?
20	MR. HARDING: Your Honor, the People will
21	respectfully, rely upon the sentencing memo that we sent to
22	the Court and defense counsel on Friday.
23	THE COURT: Counsel, you're acknowledging receipt
24	of the People's memo?
25	MR. VARGHESE: I am, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Varghese, is there anything you would like to say?

MR. VARGHESE: Yes, Your Honor. I -- also, my client would also like to make a statement after I am done.

THE COURT: Absolutely.

2.2

MR. VARGHESE: Thank you. So Your Honor, you have received our sentencing memorandum. I'm sure you've read it and the 24 letters that were -- that we received. They were still coming in on Friday, so I think we may even have more but obviously, we had to get you the memo before -- at least one business day, you know, before we were here.

sentence and I understand the Government has asked for 10 years. The point of this from our prospective is that you have to look at Mr. Taylor as someone who -- and look at him compared to others and what you have is an extraordinary and unique individual. I don't think you have anyone here in this courthouse -- and I used to prosecute cases in this courthouse or 360 Adams when it was over there, you know, with your court attorney, Mr. Hambrock back from 2000, 2006 and I can tell you I have never prosecuted somebody like Dexter Taylor, the unique individual that he is with his education, his background. The support of people who are here in court and I would say probably, about a dozen people so far. The outpouring of support he's received from people

1 ar 2 ar 3 Er 4 na 5 gr 6 gr 7 Ok 8 wh

around the country who have reached out to me from even around the world. One of the letters was from a person in England who Mr. Taylor worked on a documentary with which he narrated. He is, you know, he is a New York person. He grew up in New York. He went to Stuyvesant High School, graduated at 16. He has lead a law-abiding productive life. Obviously, the years of '21 and '22, 2021 and 2022 are at --what are at issue before the Court in terms of time period of the indictment.

So, from the Court's prospective and obviously, from a Government's prospective, those are two years of unlawful activity, activity that violated the laws of New York State. I am not here to speak politics, to talk about the state of the law; that is not what I am here for. They are what they are and the process will play itself out. It'll probably, take maybe, a decade or so.

My hope is that the Court will look at Mr. Taylor,

Dexter as I know him, and look at him as an individual

irrespective of the political situation, the politics that

are behind this case and look at him. I mean, he is an

engineer who has had a productive and wonderful career. He

is a person who has given so much to New York, New York

State, New York City, and the County of Kings. I mean, he

used to tutor kids in the projects in the Gowanus Houses. I

mean, this is an extraordinary human being.

1	You have a letter from a Ms. Basten, B-a-s-t-e-n
2	Clarke, C-l-a-r-k-e who is a former Assistant District
3	Attorney from Virginia whose known Dexter for 40 years and
4	wrote a letter and I think it make, I think it is
5	important while we put this in the letter that the community
6	hears this. She wrote that while Dexter bears
7	responsibility for his violation of the letter of the law, I
8	cannot imagine a scenario in which the legislator envisioned
9	or intended a person like Dexter Taylor as a defendant. I
10	think that captures everything, obviously, not everything
11	I am going to take that back. There is a lot more in
12	support of Dexter Taylor which is why it is our request to
13	the Court respectfully, submitted that you sentence him to
14	the minimum term of three and-a-half years to run the
15	charges the convictions concurrent, but I am going to go
16	back to Ms. Basten-Clarke's statement.

There is no doubt that, you know, gun violence is an issue in New York City and when the legislator created the laws about gun prohibition, Dexter Taylor was not who they were thinking about. I think that's clear. The gun laws are designed to protect the citizenry, but it is not to protect people, the citizenry from people like Dexter Taylor. Dexter Taylor was not out selling guns. He is not a gang banger. He is not anything along those lines or part of any sort of criminal enterprise. He is just a good solid

1	human being. He's done a lot for his own family and
2	obviously, look. I sit here you know, I sat here during
3	the trial. It went over five weeks. He was here dealing
4	with this case for over two years. I think probably, if you
5	include the trial, he was here probably, 40 to 50 times.
6	So, he showed respect for the process, for the courthouse,
7	for you. The point is he is here. I have written about the
8	dozens of the letters. He has the support of his ex-wife.
9	He has a 16-year-old daughter. He has aging parents who are
10	in the courtroom. We are asking that you, Judge because
11	you have the discretion to find the appropriate sentence and
12	as I have written, there is nothing in the New York State
13	Law about how to sentence somebody for a crime that is above
14	basically, D and E felonies.

On D and E felonies, you can look at the history and characteristics of the defendant, but here the legislator is silent to that. That being said, I think Your Honor can take all of this into consideration when deciding where to sentence him from between three and-a-half years and above to what the maximum is of 15 years and whether to include any consecutive charges. The People have asked for 10 years to run concurrent. I would ask that you -- I believe in this case that a three and-a-half year sentence more than amply shows the seriousness of the offense. It takes into account his nature, the

1	11
1	circumstances of the case. And also to extent that the
2	Court feels the need to send a message, the minimum sentence
3	sends a message. I mean, he is incarcerated. He is going
4	upstate and I think that that sends a serious message to
5	anyone else and I think when you look at this person who he
6	is and the kind of person he is jail, prison, incarceration
7	it is not where society is served best. Obviously, the law
8	requires the minimum of three and-a-half years so, I ask
9	that you give him the minimum of three and-a-half years. He
10	is the neighborhood son. He is a kid who came out of New
11	York City, has done very well for himself. He has done very
12	well for himself all throughout his life and he is here
13	before you and as his attorney, I ask that you give him the
14	minimum. I know that Mr. Taylor wishes to make a statement
15	THE COURT: Okay. Before he makes a statement, I
16	want to confirm that both sides has received and reviewed
17	the Pre-Sentence Investigation Report?
18	MR. HARDING: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: And I also want to give both sides an

THE COURT: And I also want to give both sides an opportunity to respond if you choose, to the contents of that report, People?

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR.}}$  HARDING: Your Honor, we will rely upon the report.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Varghese, anything on that matter you wish to address?

1	MR. VARGHESE: Judge, I didn't see anything that
2	was worth objecting. Unless, I completely missed it, but I
3	did not see a sentencing recommendation from Probation.
4	THE COURT: They did not include their sentencing
5	recommendation, but you received the entirety of the report,
6	correct?
7	MR. VARGHESE: I believe so, yes.
8	THE COURT: All right. Anything you wish to say
9	about it?
10	MR. VARGHESE: No.
11	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Taylor, do you wish to
12	make a statement?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
14	THE COURT: You may stand. I'll hear from you.
15	MR. VARGHESE: He has a paper. Can he be he has
16	a statement that he's prepared. He would like to read to
17	the Court.
18	THE COURT OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Sure.
20	MR. VARGHESE: Judge, may I sit?
21	THE COURT: You may.
22	MR. VARGHESE: Thank you.
23	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor. I
24	appreciate it. Family, friends, and allies and
25	foundationalist and honored adversaries, today we enter the

next phase in the fight to protect our God-given rights from a government that wishes to take them from us and grant us mere privileges in return. To quote another patriot from another place and time, "This is not the end. This is not even the beginning of the end. This is perhaps, the end of the beginning." And so as we enter this new phase, there should be no question in the mind of any patriotic American as to why we fight. After all, only slaves lack the right to arm self defense and we are no slaves, but free citizens of a great republic and we contain multitudes each of us from builder, a healer, a teacher, a statesman, a solider, a judge, an attorney at law, a sergeant at arms, and an image of God. So, we know why we fight.

The question before us is how we must fight. What kind of discipline we must bring with us into battle and what spirit we must show to our friends and adversaries alike and by way of answering, we refer to our core doctrines.

The foundationalist's manifesto calls us to listen closely and to speak clearly. To deny the self at the same time to defend the individual. To respect tradition and also to cultivate the future. In short, as foundationalist, we are called to embrace disciplines what seem to contradict each other but nonetheless, to embrace them with all of our strength. So, it is in our current fight because this

system as dysfunctional as it often is, as unjust as it often is, it is nonetheless, our system. It is a feature not a bug of our American civilization. Like any other structure built from man's crocked timber, it is not perfect. Judges and attorneys and trial courts and juries in the light of day not are not perfect. Judges and attorneys and trial courts and juries in the light of day are merely what we have instead of the blood feud and the vendetta and the dagger in the dead of night. Knowing this, we give challenge even as we give thanks. Knowing this, we prepare ourselves for battle in a spirit of profound dissatisfaction and profound gratitude in equal measure.

That is the apparent contradiction we face as we continue this struggle for the civil rights of our fellow New Yorkers and our fellow Americans. On the one hand to hate this system, our system enough to fight it but on the other hand, to love it enough, love it enough to think it's worth fighting for. Nothing else will do for us but this profoundly Christian habit of defeating a contradiction by fully embracing both sides of it. So, when our adversaries look for us let them find — to quote my Christian friend "A bee shiniest at a wasp's hostility." Let's us show them a soldier's intensity and diplomat's calm. Let our adversaries find us stern in battle, patient in defeat and gracious in our ultimate victory which is certain. In

short, when our adversaries look for us, let them find reasonable men and irrational patriots.

When I was a boy my grandfather told me that fire is a great servant, but a terrible master and so it is with Government. And to the extent that our own Government attempts to be our master, we must oppose it. We must fight to the upmost limits of our strength, but in that fight our spirit must be one of restoration, not destruction. We must confront the enemy as the firefighter confronts his enemy and for the same reasons that the structure itself may yet, be saved.

God bless and keep you all and may God bless the United States of America. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So, I have heard from all parties. Before I impose sentence, I am going to address certain events that have occurred in the aftermath of this trial: Following the jury's verdict, this Court has received and have been made aware of a series of alarming communications including e-mail, text messages, phone calls, letters, and other forms of outreach. These communications disparaged me personally, based on my race and my gender. They include references to specific rulings and exchanges that occurred during the trial. Some blatantly distort the record, others misquote statements I made.

These communications have not been limited to me.

They have been sent to or have been received by court staff, other members of the judiciary and Kings County District

Attorney, Eric Gonzalez and members of his staff. In fairness to the defendant and in deference to the integrity of these proceedings with the exception of a hand full that made their way to me, I have chosen not to read any of these communications. Finally, the Court is not aware of any evidence that connects the defendant, Dexter Taylor to these communications. Suffice it to say, these communications constitute a sad commentary. Whatever their objective, it was not achieved and so, we move now to sentencing.

Mr. Taylor, you can disagree with the law, but you can't break it. One can hold strong views on a subject and still be a law-abiding citizen. You chose to violate the laws of New York State. So today's sentencing is not about your views, it is about your actions.

You understood that to lawfully possess firearms in this state you were required to apply for and be issued a license. You chose not to and instead, chose to manufacturer, own, and possess 13 firearms at 43 Eldert Street in Brooklyn as evidenced by your pretrial statements to the Court, your testimony at trial, your statement to the Probation Department and what you have said here today, you made that choice intentionally, consciously, and willfully and the jury saw that.

1	II.
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
.1	
2	

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Having presided over this trial, read the submissions of the parties and heard from both sides today, I hereby sentence you as follows: As to each of the C violent felonies, criminal possession of a weapon in the second degree, I sentence you to 10 years imprisonment to be followed by a period of three years post-release supervision. As to the class D violent felonies, criminal possession of a weapon in the third degree of which they were three counts, I sentence you to seven years imprisonment to be followed by a period of three years post-release supervision. As to the E violent felonies, criminal possession of a firearm of which they were five counts, I sentence you to one and-a-third to four years imprisonment.

As to the administrative code violations, I sentence you to one year imprisonment for each of the three charges that you were found guilty of. Each of these sentences are to run concurrent. There is also a mandatory surcharge. Counsel, how much time would your client like to pay.

MR. VARGHESE: Judge, what is the amount?

THE COURT: Three seventy-five.

MR. VARGHESE: Ninety days, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So, we will adjourn this matter for 90 days from today, which will be August --

## PROCEEDINGS

1	MR. VARGHESE: Judge, may have a moment before you
2	do that?
3	THE COURT: Sure.
4	MR. VARGHESE: Judge, it can be done in 30 days.
5	THE COURT: All right, we are adjourning this
6	matter to June 13th for the defendant to pay.
7	As I explained earlier, you do now have a felony
8	criminal record in New York State and you will have to
9	submit to having your DNA taken. That concludes today's
10	matter, thank you. Bail is exonerated.
11	* * * *
12	Certified to be a true and accurate transcript of the
13	stenographic minutes taken within.
14	
15	
16	Jax Phillippe.
18	
19	Faye Phillips-McLean Senior Court Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	